REMARKS

Applicants thank Examiner Patel for the analysis contained in the April 1, 2005 Office

Action. The analysis provides insight into the reasoning of the Examiner.

Claim Rejection – 35 U.S.C. § 102

In the Office Action, the Examiner maintained the rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 102 as being

anticipated by Le.

The teachings of the Le reference cannot be equated to the invention claimed in the present

application. Applicants understand the Examiner as suggesting that the distinguishing limitations

added to the wording of the Claims are being read merely as "intended use" and are interpreted as

such. Applicants have, therefore, removed the "adapted to" wording from the claim limitations.

Claim 1 calls for "the first circumferential seal performing a sealing function of preventing

fluids from migrating along the shaft from a first region of the body to a second region of the body."

Claim 1 also states "the second circumferential seal performing the same sealing function as the first

circumferential seal, and serving as a redundant back up seal until the first circumferential seal

experiences seal failure."

It is respectfully submitted that the Examiner has not given sufficient weight to the

limitation in the claim that states the first circumferential seal prevents fluids migrating from "a first

region" to "a second region." This is fundamental to the analysis, as every seal is positioned for a

purpose of preventing migrations of fluids along a shaft from one region to another. One can

always examine a patent reference and determine an intended sealing function. With respect to

seal 70 of the Le reference, the first region is chamber 18 and the second region is chamber 66 on

a first side of piston 64. The purpose of the seal 70 is to prevent fluids from migrating from the

first region or chamber 18 to the second region or chamber 66. With respect to seal 74 of the

Le reference, the first region is chamber 66 on second side of piston 64 and the second region is

LAW OFFICES OF CHRISTENSEN O'CONNOR JOHNSON KINDNESSPLLC 1420 Fifth Avenue Suite 2800

Seattle, Washington 98101 206.682.8100

-4-

atmosphere. The purpose of the seal 74 is to prevent fluids from migrating from the first region or chamber 66 to the second region (atmosphere). It can be seen from this analysis that the seal 70 and the seal 74 of the Le reference are sealing different regions and should not, therefore, be equated to the present invention merely because they are positioned in spaced relation at opposite ends of the same shaft. To avoid any possible misinterpretation of the intended meaning of the language of the claim, Applicants have added a limitation indicating that the second region is "positioned immediately adjacent to the first region." It is respectfully submitted that the Le reference does not fall within the limitations of the claims, as amended.

In view of the foregoing amendments and arguments, it is respectfully submitted that the present application is now in condition for allowance. Applicants, therefore, request the early issuance of a Notice of Allowance.

Respectfully submitted,

CHRISTENSEN O'CONNOR JOHNSON KINDNESSPLLC

Kevan L. Morgan Registration No. 42,015

Direct Dial No. 206.695.1712

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the U.S. Postal Service in a sealed envelope as first class mail with postage thereon fully prepaid and addressed to Mail Stop AF, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450, on the below date.

Date:

June 1 2005

KLM:lpz

LAW OFFICES OF
CHRISTENSEN O'CONNOR JOHNSON KINDNESSPLLC
1420 Fifth Avenue
Suite 2800
Seattle, Washington 98101
206.682.8100